

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,697	01/18/2002	Hans S. Walitzki	020016-000311US	5401	
20350	7590 10/29/2002			•	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FLO			GUERRERO, MARIA F		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/\
<u></u>		10/054,697	WALITZKI ET AL.	
	0	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Office Action Summary		2822	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	pnears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		MG THE SERVICE	
eriod for	OTATUTORY PERIOD FOR KEP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 day	SAM(S) FROM	
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO	RTENED STATOTORY COMMUNICATION AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in the seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status		n5 July 2002 .		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	This action is non-final.		
2a)□	I his action is a marker.		s, prosecution as to the merits	is
3)	This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice union of Claims		11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disbosir	. as : / pending in the applica	ation.		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are perioding in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
5)니	Claim(s)is/are rejected.			
1 _	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction			
	Ition Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	_	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Execution The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
11)[The proposed drawing corrected makings are require	d in reply to this Office action.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	the Examiner.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	forcian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	Interigit priority arrast 1		
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	Application No	
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in	n received in this National Stag	е
	3. Copies of the certified cop	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	t received.	
				nousion)
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) The translation of the foreign language.	uage provisional application has	been received.	
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
	hment(s)		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	<u> </u>
1) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo-1449) Page Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page Information Disclosure Statement(s)	U-3-0/		
ا (د	j m	Office Action Summary	Part of Pa	рег ио. э

Application/Control Number: 10/054,697

Art Unit: 2822

A1 .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, 18-19, 23, drawn to method of manufacturing semiconductor devices, classified in class 438, subclass 459.
- II. Claims 15-17 and 20-22, drawn to semiconductor devices, classified in class 257, subclass 415.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, coating and etching can be use instead of polishing.

Regarding claims 15-17 and 20-22, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao and Sato et al., 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (CCPA 1976) (footnote 3). See also In re Brown and Saffer, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972); In re Luck and Gainer, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC 1983).

Application/Control Number: 10/054,697

Art Unit: 2822

.19

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Regarding claims 1-14, 18-19, and 23, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I, a polishing process and Species II, a method for detection of hidden bonding flaws.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/054,697

Art Unit: 2822

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Maria Guerrero
Patent Examiner
October 24, 2002